



Emerson Park Academy

Exam Internal Appeals Procedure

2023/2024

Centre No. 12821

This procedure is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by	
Date of next review	November 2024

Key staff involved in internal appeals procedures

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Mr S McGuinness
Senior leader(s)	Mrs K Blaize Mr M Hope Mr J Maguire Mr N Giles Ms N Heatley Mr S Thurley Ms C Amponsah
Exams officer	Mrs T Whiting
SENCo	Ms E Fuller

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) at Emerson Park Academy are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations in the JCQ publications **General Regulations for Approved Centres (GR 5.7)**, **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (ICNEA 6.1)**. This procedure is also informed by the JCQ publications **Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres** and **Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks**.

Contents

- Purpose of the procedure
- Appeals relating to the internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Appeals regarding centre decisions realign to access arrangements and special consideration
- Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues
- Complaints and Appeals form
- Complaints and Appeals log
- Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Emerson Park Academy compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3z) that the centre will:

- have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure covers appeals relating to:

- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain GCSE, and other qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Emerson Park Academy and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

This procedure confirms Emerson Park Academy compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Examination board deadlines for the submission of marks

Internal deadlines will be set by subject teachers, allowing time for marking, feedback, reviews/appeals and submission by the below awarding body deadline(s). See Non-Examination Assessment section under the exams area on the academy website.

EPA Deadline to submit marks to awarding body	Qualification Type	Awarding Body and qualification	Exam series
15 December	BTEC	Pearson/Edexcel	Winter-2024
15 March	GCSE	OCR - Physical Education	Summer-2024
07 May	GCSE	AQA - All subjects, excluding Art and Photography	Summer-2024
15 May	GCSE	OCR and Pearson - All subjects, excluding OCR Physical Education	Summer-2024
15 May	Cambridge Nationals	OCR - Information Technology	Summer-2024
15 May	GCSE	WJEC - Textiles	Summer-2024
15 May	ELC	AQA – Maths and English	Summer-2024
22 May	GCSE	AQA - Modern Foreign Languages Speaking exams	Summer-2024
31 May	GCSE	AQA - Art & Photography	Summer-2024
1 st July	BTEC	Pearson/Edexcel – All subjects	Summer-2024

Emerson Park Academy is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Emerson Park Academy ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-examination Assessment Policy* (for the management of GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for GCSE's, BTEC, Award in Number and Measure, Cambridge Nationals and Entry Level Certificate, including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Emerson Park Academy is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where more than one subject teacher is involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to their marking, then they may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Emerson Park Academy will:

1. Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body
2. Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of quality of work submitted
3. Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
4. Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as artwork and recordings, inform the candidate that the originals will be shared under supervised conditions) within 2 calendar days.
5. Provide candidates with sufficient time to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review, they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
6. Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking and confirm understanding that requests must be made in writing and will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 2 calendar days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the **internal appeals form**. Forms should be submitted to the Examinations Officer.
7. Allow 2 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks.
8. Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review.
9. Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
10. Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.
11. Ensure the outcome of the review of the centre's marking is made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body.
12. Ensure a written record of the review is kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.
13. Ensure the awarding body is informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

Deadlines and timescales

- Upon request, copies of materials will be made available to the candidate within two calendar days.
- The deadline to request a review of marking must be made within two calendar days of the candidates receiving copies of the requested materials.
- The process for completing the review, making any changes to marks, and informing the candidate of the outcome will be completed within two calendar days, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks.

Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

The purpose of these procedures is to confirm how Emerson Park Academy deals with candidates' requests for access to scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals to the awarding bodies in compliance with JCQ regulations (GR 5.13).

Details of these procedures are made widely available and accessible to all candidates by publishing details on the Academy website, the student exam guide, letters home to parents/carers and information handed to students with their provisional results.

Arrangements for post-results services

- Candidates must be made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issued of results (GR 5.13)
- A review of moderation cannot be undertaken upon the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample (PRS 4.3)
- The appeals process is available after receiving the outcome of a review of results (PRS 5.1)

At Emerson Park Academy will ensure:

compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.13) that the centre will:

- have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an online application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services and internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exam officer before students results are issued. These will also be available on the Academy website.

Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Parent/Carers are emailed and students informed by letter. A copy of these communications will also be available on the Academy website.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check)
This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Service 3 (Review of moderation)
This service is not available to an individual candidate

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

1. consider accessing the script by:
 - a) (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or
 - b) (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate

NB: scripts can only be accessed with the candidates written permission
2. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script
3. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
4. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
5. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
6. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate he/she may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of his/her script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the internal appeals form at least 4 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 4 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

NB: Candidate or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms Emerson Park Academy's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3x) that the centre will:

- have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding... centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Emerson Park Academy will:

- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications **Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments** and **A guide to the special consideration process**
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Emerson Park Academy:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates.
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining, and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence
- charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates AARA (Importance of these regulations)

Special consideration

Where Emerson Park Academy can provide signed evidence to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments, and special consideration

This may include Emerson Park Academy's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where Emerson Park Academy makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 2 calendar/working days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 5 calendar/working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Emerson Park Academy will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publications [A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes](#) (section 3), [Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) (section 3.3), [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#) (section 5.4), [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) (Importance of these regulations) and [A guide to the special consideration process](#) (sections 1, 2, 6)

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative

Circumstances may arise that cause Emerson Park Academy to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where Emerson Park Academy may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 2 calendar/working days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 5 calendar/working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

This procedure is informed by the JCQ publication A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes



FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Date received	
Reference No.	

Internal Appeals form

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes* on the form below

- Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking
- Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Appeal against the centre's decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration
- Appeal against the centre's decision relating to an administrative issue

*where the nature of the appeal does not relate directly to an awarding body's specific qualification, indicate N/A in awarding body specific detail boxes

Name of appellant		Candidate name if different to appellant	
Awarding body		Exam paper code	
Qualification type Subject		Exam paper title	

Please state the grounds for your appeal below:

(If applicable, tick below)

- Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre's marking

If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed

Appellant signature:

Date of signature:

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>
- Post-Results Services
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services>
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>
- Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/>
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/>
- A guide to the special consideration process <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/>

Ofqual publications

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions>